

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/772,994	MORIZANE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian L. Mutschler	1753

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) Brian L. Mutschler. (3) _____.

(2) Michele Connell. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 July 2004

Time: 11:40am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

5 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Brian L. Mutschler 7/26/2004
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Connell was contacted to suggest the replacement of the amended limitations of claims 5 and 10 with the limitations that were indicated allowable in the Office action mailed January 9, 2004. Ms. Connell approved the changes, which will be incorporated by Examiner's amendment.